

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

				;					
Applicant's or agent's file reference NO 7337/WO/PCT				FOR FURTHER AC	TION		n of Transmittal of Ir simination Report (F		A/416)
International application No. PCT/EP 03/09852				International filing date (05.09.2003	day/mon	h/year)	Priority date (day) 19.09.2002	month/year)	
	ationa D5/42		nt Classification (IPC) or be	l oth national classification a	nd IPC		<u> </u>		
Appilo NES	cant STEC	S.A.	et al.						
1.	This Author	intern ority a	ational preliminary exam nd is transmitted to the	mination report has been applicant according to	n prepa Article 3	red by this Inte 6.	rnational Prelimin	ary Examini	ng
2.	This	REPO	ORT consists of a total of	of 7 sheets, including th	is cove	rsheet.	•	,	
		been	amended and are the	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. basis for this report and n 607 of the Administrati	or shee	ts containing re	ectifications made		
			exes consist of a total of				·		
3.	This	report	contains indications re	elating to the following ite	ems:		e itter poor onen	gente anne sterm na	, the way set of
I ☑ Basis of the opinion									
II □ Priority									
	111	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to n	ovelty, i	nventive step a	ınd industrial appl	icability	
	IV		Lack of unity of invent	ion					
V 🖾 Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								icability;	
	VI		Certain documents cit	ed					
	VII		Certain defects in the	international application	1				
VIII □ Certain observations on the international application									
Date of submission of the demand				Date o	f completion of th	is report			
26.01.2004				23.09	.2004				
Name and mailing address of the International preliminary examining authority:					Author	zed Officer		·	Statement Paterney
	<u>)</u>	D-8 Tel	opean Patent Office 10298 Munich . +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236 c: +49 89 2399 - 4465	856 epmu d		tere, M one No. +49 89 2	2399-8352	· rjud A ver	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/09852

ı.	Bas	is of the report	·							
1.	the	receivina Office in re	ents of the international application (Replacement sheets_which has sponse to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this reports ince they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and article 14 are referred to in this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 are	ort as "originally tiled"						
٠				- ·						
	Des	cription, Pages		·						
	1-13	3	as originally filed							
	Clai	ims, Numbers	•							
	1-12	2	as originally filed							
	Dra	wings, Sheets								
		4/4	as originally filed							
	•••		•							
2.	With lang	h regard to the <mark>lang</mark> u guage in which the in	lage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished temational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under	o this Authority in the raths item.						
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:									
		the language of a tr	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (u	nder Rule 23.1(b)).						
	☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).									
		the language of a tr Rule 55.2 and/or 55	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary ea.3).	xamination (under						
[.] " 3	.`Wit inte	h regard to any nucl ernational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	al application, the						
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.							
		filed together with the	he international application in computer readable form.							
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.									
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
		The statement that in the international	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go bapplication as filed has been furnished.	eyond the disclosure						
		The statement that listing has been fur	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to nished.	the written sequence						
4	. The	e amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:							
		the description,	pages:							
		the claims,	Nos.:							
		the drawings,	sheets:							
		•	- -							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Form PCT/PEA/409 (January 2004)

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/09852

-5.	☐ ··	This report has been establisheen considered to go beyon	hed as i d-the dis	f (some of) sclosure as	the amendments	s ⁻ had not bee (c)).	en made, since	they have				
	(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to the report.)											
6.	Additional observations, if necessary:											
111.	Nor	n-establishment of opinion v	vith rea	ard to nov	elty, inventive s	step and indu	ustrial applica	ability				
1	The	the questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-bylious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:										
		the entire international application,										
		claims Nos.										
		because:				, ,						
the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which not require an international preliminary examination (specify):												
,	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 5 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):											
see separate sheet												
the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful could be formed.												
a zeroakiti		no international search repor	t has be	en establis	shed for the said	claims Nos.	مليدي در و الداء ديما.	يوعده المستقورية والمستمادة				
2.	or a	neaningful international prelim amino acid sequence listing to tructions:	inarv ex	amination o	cannot be carried	I out due to th	ne failure of the C of the Admi	e nucleotide and/ nistrative				
		the written form has not been	n furnish	ed or does	not comply with	the Standard	i.					
		the computer readable form	has not	been furnis	shed or does not	comply with t	the Standard.					
V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement												
1.	Sta	atement		•	4 17							
	Но	velty-(N)	Yes: No:	-Claims Claims	1-4, 7-12							
	Inventive step (IS)			Claims Claims	1-4, 7-12							
	Inc	dustrial applicability (IA)	<u>Y</u> es: No:	Claims Claims	1-4, 7-12							
2.	. Cit	tations and explanations										

see separate sheet	INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT	International application	n No.	PCT/EP 03/09	9852
see separate sheet	•				
	see separate sheet		na alle planette qui 9 tim		
					garmannerstörhöldeller ein
	46				·
		:		· .	
COLINIA DI MARIA M					
	n de la la companya da la la la campa de la companya de la campa de la campa de la campa de la deservación de La companya de la campa de	ge spellemente i viva e i viva	saa oo ka sa	the season with the section of the	er her to de
		. •			
	•	·		·	٠

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP 03/09852 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

ITEM VI

1. Dependent claim 5 does not meet the requirements of Art. 6 PCT (clarity).

The "initial pressure in the freezer" is undefined in claim 5 (nor in claim 1) as it is neither clear at which method step the product is introduced into the freezer, nor if the product is introduced into the freezer together with the container.

It is therefore impossible to examine claim 5 for novelty and inventive step.

Claim 5 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined.

ITEM V

2. Claims 1-4 and 7-12 do not fulfill the requirements of Art. 33(3) EPC (inventive step).

2.1 Claim 1

Document **US-A-3 677 443**, hereinafter referred to as **D1**, is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1 and discloses:

Method of packaging a thick but malleable frozen dessert, and for dispensing it under pressure in the expanded state, in which method the product is placed in a container 12 equipped with a dispensing member 18, 22, then, after having put the said dispensing member in the closed position, the said container is pressurized by a propellant gas (column 3 lines 64-66, column 4 lines 6-10) to a pressure high enough to ensure suitable dispensing (column 4 lines 42-44), given the consistency of the product to be dispensed and characteristics of the dispensing member, wherein:

- a) a propellant gas (column 4 lines 47-51) is chosen;
- b) an expansion gas (column 4 lines 54-60) is chosen in order to expand the product when it is dispensed, the amount of expansion gas used being defined depending on the degree of expansion desired on dispensing, the expansion gas being homogeneously dissolved in the product to be dispensed by putting the expansion gas in contact with the said product in a freezer (26, 28, 34) and
- c) the passage of the said product takes place in the pasty state then it is

dispensed by opening the dispensing member 18, 22, the said product being expanded to the desired degree, determined prior to filling, as described in step b), by expanding the expansion gas (column 4 lines 55-58) which is completely dissolved therein.

-The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known in D1 in that:

- 1. the propellant gas is virtually insoluble in the product to be dispensed;
- 2. the expansion gas is highly soluble in the product to be dispensed.

The technical problem to be solved by the method as defined in claim 1 can be defined as how to obtain a more effective expansion of the product.

D1 (column 4 lines 47-51) clearly distinguishes between the propellant function and the expansion function of the gases and suggests that it is not necessary to mix propellant directly with the product to be dispensed. In order to solve the technical problem, a skilled practitioner would consider document EP-A-1 061 006, hereinafter referred to as D2, wherein it is said that insoluble gases are particularly suitable to be used as propellant and soluble gases such as CO2 and N2O are suitable to be used for expanding the product (overrun) (§0007; claim 7).

The subject matter of claim 1 is an obvious combination of the method disclosed in D1 and the teachings of D2 and does therefore not substantiate an inventive step as required by Art. 33(3) PCT.

Dependent claims 2 - 4 and 7-12

The features and method steps of dependent claims 2-4, 7, 9-11 are well established in the art for the same purposes of the present invention:

claim 2 (the temperature and pressure values have been considered as merely optional by the term "especially"): WO-A-95 29597 claim 1;

claim 3: D2 claim 7;

claim 4: JP-A-03061450, abstract;

claim 7: implicit from D1 column 4 lines 51-57 (for the assessment of novelty and inventive step, in the light of the description it has been assumed that the product has been partially pressurised before being introduced into the container.

claims 9, 10: D1 column 4 lines 6-10;

claim 11: D2, claim 5;

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP 03/09852 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

The features of claims 8 and 12 are routine measures and do therefore not contribute to inventive step (N.B.: The piston of claim 8 lacks an antecedent and is undefined in its functional relationship with the container. Therefore claim 8 should depend on claim 11).

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER: ___

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.